

From: [John Milem](#)
To: [zzRedistricting Commission Voicemail](#)
Subject: For Dean Foster
Date: Thursday, October 13, 2011 12:36:42 AM
Attachments: [cFosterGeographyQuestions.xls](#)

Dean, I'm attaching to this message a simple spreadsheet which I made as I was examining the boundaries of your proposed congressional districts. The only layers I had on at the time were the counties, your districts, and the municipal boundaries as of 2011 provided by commission staff. I noticed in various places that your district boundary appeared to follow a municipal boundary or some other feature.

Then it would dart away for a bit and then back. This is a list of the blocks involved in those unexpected departures which have zero population. My guess is that your intention was for your district boundary to follow the feature and that the assignment of these blocks to your districts is not in accordance with your intention. Note that I didn't have the time to examine other layers, such as, for example, precincts, to see if I might find an explanation there.

The first column shows the district to which the block is assigned, then the county, the block geoid, the population, and my thought as to the district to which you intended the block to be assigned.

I'm not looking for feedback on this. It's just that when I noticed things I thought I'd mention them for whatever use you may wish to make of the information.

--

John Milem
Vancouver, Washington, USA
milemjohn@comcast.net