

## Comments on Redistricting Commission's Draft Plans—October 13-21, 2011

| Messages to the Commission |   |    |    |  |
|----------------------------|---|----|----|--|
|                            | Your recommendations:   | CD | LD |  |
| 10/21/2011                 | You have placed much of Alderwood Manor with Lynnwood. Homes to the east of 24th AV West are placed in either the 21st district or the 32nd district with Lynnwood. This is an incorporated area. Why are you placing my home, 1814 201st Place S. W., Alderwood Manor, in the voting area with Lynnwood? I have nothing to do with incorporated Lynnwood.  | 1  | 1  | Bonnie B. Sandahl<br>1814 201st Place S. W.<br>Alderwood Manor, WA 98036<br>bsandahl@wolfenet.com                  |
| 10/20/2011                 | As per RCW 44.05.090 (2) (a) District lines should be drawn so as to coincide with the boundaries of local political subdivisions and areas recognized as communities of interest. The number of counties and municipalities divided among more than one district should be as small as possible. Therefore, I have problems with Gorton and Huff's combined LD map that needlessly make the 30th LD, where I reside, bridge into Pierce County. That is not the case currently and shouldn't be with the new maps. Along the same lines, Ceis and Foster's US Congressional District maps needlessly make their South King County district go into a small portion of Pierce County where Rep. Adam Smith resides. There is no need to add this small bit of Pierce County except to protect Rep. Adam Smith. Thus, for the South King County CD, whatever number it ends up being, Huff's map is the best. Furthermore, Ceis and Foster's US Congressional District maps needlessly have the 6th CD grab a portion of Tacoma. It is the mandate of the Commission to avoid breaking up municipalities, yet these do so. It may be because that has long being Rep. Norm Dicks constituency, but such reasoning should not come into play. Thus for the Tacoma CD and the Kitsap Peninsula CD, Huff's map is the best. Along the lines of their mandate to not break up municipalities, Ceis and Foster's combined LD map, needlessly breaks up the Kelso community. Outlying communities within the Kelso school district of Ostrander, Rose Valley and Carrolls are within the Kelso school district and have Kelso postal codes<br><a href="http://www.kelso.wednet.edu/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=wcu8zEColjY%3d&amp;tabid=36&amp;mid=380">http://www.kelso.wednet.edu/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=wcu8zEColjY%3d&amp;tabid=36&amp;mid=380</a> . As such, they should be allowed to vote on state legislators that represent their communities. Moreover, they represent only a few hundred people, so including them in a final LD map would require very little adjustment by the commission. | 9  | 30 | Richard D Champion<br>104 SW 332nd St #1405<br>Federal Way, WA 98023<br>richard.champion@gmail.com<br>206-724-1506 |

|            |   |   |    |   |
|------------|---|---|----|---|
| 10/20/2011 | <p>As per RCW 44.05.090 (2) (a) District lines should be drawn so as to coincide with the boundaries of local political subdivisions and areas recognized as communities of interest. The number of counties and municipalities divided among more than one district should be as small as possible. Therefore, I have problems with Gorton and Huff's combined LD map that needlessly make the 30th LD, where I reside, bridge into Pierce County. That is not the case currently and shouldn't be with the new maps. Along the same lines, Ceis and Foster's US Congressional District maps needlessly make their South King County district go into a small portion of Pierce County where Rep. Adam Smith resides. There is no need to add this small bit of Pierce County except to protect Rep. Adam Smith. Thus, for the South King County CD, whatever number it ends up being, Huff's map is the best. Furthermore, Ceis and Foster's US Congressional District maps needlessly have the 6th CD grab a portion of Tacoma. It is the mandate of the Commission to avoid breaking up municipalities, yet these do so. It may be because that has long been Rep. Norm Dicks constituency, but such reasoning should not come into play. Thus for the Tacoma CD and the Kitsap Peninsula CD, Huff's map is the best. Along the lines of their mandate to not break up municipalities, Ceis and Foster's combined LD map, needlessly breaks up the Kelso community. Outlying communities within the Kelso school district of Ostrander, Rose Valley and Carrolls are within the Kelso school district and have Kelso postal codes</p> <p><a href="http://www.kelso.wednet.edu/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=wcu8zEColjY%3d&amp;tabid=36&amp;mid=380">http://www.kelso.wednet.edu/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=wcu8zEColjY%3d&amp;tabid=36&amp;mid=380</a> . As such, they should be allowed to vote on state legislators that represent their communities. Moreover, they represent only a few hundred people, so including them in a final LD map would require very little adjustment by the commission.</p> | 9 | 30 | <p>Richard D Champion<br/> 104 SW 332nd St #1405<br/> Federal Way, WA 98023<br/> richard.champion@gmail.com<br/> 206-724-1506</p> |
| 10/20/2011 | <p>The Gorton Huff plan alligns more with our interests in the North Creek area. Please vote for this plan or one that is similar to it. Aligning us with the Mukilteo area is not in our best interest.</p>  | 1 | 1  | <p>Jackie Reeves<br/> 2619 189th St SE<br/> Bothell, WA 98012<br/> 425-481-2369</p>   |
| 10/20/2011 | <p>I'd suggest that we might be better represented by more regionally local folks who are more in touch with our specific concerns about growth management and the future annexation designs by Bothell and Mill Creek. The Gordon/Huff proposal leans in that direction.</p>   | 1 | 1  |   |

|            |  |   |   |  |
|------------|--|---|---|--|
| 10/20/2011 | Please keep us in a region whose planning group is centralized around the North Creek area. The Mukilteo area is too far removed to understand issues that we face in our local areas.   | 1 | 1 | Jeff Bacigalupi<br>2619 189th St SE<br>Bothell, WA 98012<br>425-481-2369   |
| 10/20/2011 | I prefer the Gorton/Huff Proposal for the re-districting of our area in the state. It keeps our representation local and connected to the neighborhoods at large while the other seems to take our area away from a center location and with the possibility of representation that is not concerned, knowledgeable or interested in the needs of our area.  | 1 | 1 | Kathryn Ryan<br>18815 25th Ave SE<br>Bothell, WA 98012   |
| 10/20/2011 | YES to Commissioners Gorton & Huff Proposal Our 1st Legislative district neighbors are people who live EAST of I-5, WEST of Hwy 9, South to Bothell Way and North to 128th St SE best understand how we commute, shop, and communicate. For over 22 years I have lived in 1st Congressional and the 1st Legislative district. I have voted at every election. As a block watch captain I know how important it is to listen to and to communicate with my neighbors in order to build a strong, supportive neighborhood network. I best serve my neighbors because I live in the neighborhood. I would like the fact that my state legislative representative lives in my neighborhood to continue even after redistricting. I dearly appreciate your time and "listening" to my recommendation and request. You are welcome to contact me if you have further questions or would like further feedback about my neighborhood redistricting. Best wishes to you, Michelle Scannell   | 1 | 1 | Michelle Scannell<br>18603 - 22nd DR SE<br>Bothell, WA 98012-8706<br>michelle@garden-designz.com<br>206-226-9899   |
| 10/19/2011 | After reviewing the Demographic charts for each of the Legislative plans, I was disappointed to see that they focused narrowly on one language group and a 19th century concept of race. May I suggest some more useful demographics to indicate communities of like interest and perspective: Population density - rural, urban and suburban outlooks are necessarily different Population age profiles - trending to retirement or many young families? Economic base - is the economy of an area driven by software, agriculture, health care, mining, timber, tourism, government employment, military bases? Percentage of private vs. publicly owned land - changes tax pressures on local governments Within agriculture - irrigated vs/ dryland; row crops & tree fruit (labor intensive) vs. grains & similar large acreage crops (machinery intensive); animal agriculture vs. cropping Median Home Prices and Sizes - reflection of self-selected culture Schools - Public vs. private school enrollment vs. home schooling All of these variables can be studied and do far more to creating communities of interest and reflecting daily culture than superficial labels. | 5 | 7 | Sue Lani Madsen<br>PO BOX 107<br>49332 Sobek Road E<br>Edwall, WA 99008<br>suelani@centurylink.net<br>509-236-2311 |

|            |   |   |   |  |
|------------|---|---|---|--|
| 10/19/2011 | <p>: "The Ceis/Foster proposal has moved our small corner of the larger neighborhood (Tambark Trails and west over to Hwy 527, and Tambark Trails and north up to 180th) from our present participation with the larger North Creek and North Bothell, to an attachment to the Mukilteo/Edmunds district. Both options (present and proposed future) have their pros/cons, but what is of concern to me and my neighbors is that we will not longer be represented from the Bothell/North Creek neighborhoods, but by the Edmonds/Mukilteo neighborhoods - great folks, but disconnected from our key issues. I'd suggest that we might be better represented by more regionally local folks who are more in touch with our specific concerns, such as growth management and the future annexation designs by Bothell and Mill Creek, or nationally concerning federal environmental regulations as they impact Tambark Creek. In particular, the Growth Management plan for the state indicates that our neighborhood is in the Mill Creek growth boundaries, and if we are ever to be annexed, it will be with Mill Creek. Regardless of if/when an annexation occurs for us, would it not be smarter to be represented by folks connected to these issues? While this is a local (state/county/city) issue, it is a small example of how we would be better represented by our closer neighbors immediately north and south of us, vice reaching for the beach. The Gordon/Huff proposal leans more in our desired direction. Please feel free to ask more questions of us: Tambark Trails Neighborhood Association <a href="mailto:tambarktrails@yahoo.com">tambarktrails@yahoo.com</a> or <a href="mailto:tambarktrails@seasentries.com">tambarktrails@seasentries.com</a></p> |   |   | Bill Anderson  |
| 10/19/2011 | <p>I'm a bit worried/confused by the web site. It states: "The commissioners are taking public comments through October 11. After that, they will be meeting to negotiate a final redistricting plan." ...But, the commission had a special meeting and came up with two final proposals. According to the site, you are not taking public comment on these options. However, from a recent reply to my query on Facebook: "We accept public comment through Facebook and our website throughout the process. All comments are forwarded to the commissioners. Let me know if you have any other questions." I appreciate the option to comment, but believe you might want to update the website to reflect that intention. Thanks!!!!</p>   | 1 | 1 | <p>William Anderson<br/> 2617 189th ST SE<br/> Bothell, WA 98012<br/> <a href="mailto:bigbillmail@seasentries.com">bigbillmail@seasentries.com</a><br/> 425-806-0321</p> |

|            |  |   |    |   |
|------------|--|---|----|---|
| 10/19/2011 | <p>The Ceis/Foster proposal has moved our small corner of the larger neighborhood (Tambark Trails and west over to Hwy 527, and Tambark Trails and north up to 180th) from our present participation with the larger North Creek and North Bothell, to an attachment to the Mukilteo/Edmonds district. Both options (present and proposed future) have their pros/cons, but what is of concern to me and my neighbors is that we will not longer be represented from the Bothell/North Creek neighborhoods, but by the Edmonds/Mukilteo neighborhoods - great folks, but disconnected from our key issues. I'd suggest that we might be better represented by more regionally local folks who are more in touch with our specific concerns, such as growth management and the future annexation designs by Bothell and Mill Creek, or nationally concerning federal environmental regulations as they impact Tambark Creek. In particular, the Growth Management plan for the state indicates that our neighborhood is in the Mill Creek growth boundaries, and if we are ever to be annexed, it will be with Mill Creek. Regardless of if/when an annexation occurs for us, would it not be smarter to be represented by folks connected to these issues? While this is a local (state/county/city) issue, it is a small example of how we would be better represented by our closer neighbors immediately north and south of us, vice reaching for the beach. The Gordon/Huff proposal leans more in our desired direction. Please feel free to ask more questions of us: Tambark Trails Neighborhood Association <a href="mailto:tambarktrails@yahoo.com">tambarktrails@yahoo.com</a> or <a href="mailto:tambarktrails@seasentries.com">tambarktrails@seasentries.com</a></p> | 1 | 1  | <p>William Anderson<br/> 2617 189th ST SE<br/> Mill Creek, WA 98012<br/> <a href="mailto:bigbillmail@seasentries.com">bigbillmail@seasentries.com</a><br/> 425-806-0321</p> |
| 10/19/2011 | <p>In the 101411/LD_handout_Huff-Gorton.pdf plan, the finger of the 41st district along the Bellevue shore of Lake Sammamish is laughably narrow. I hardly think a mile-wide lake counts as territorial cohesion.</p>  | 8 | 48 |   |
| 10/19/2011 | <p>Hi Right now we are in the 1st Congressional and the 1st Legislative district. Our Legislative district is the one I am concerned with and when you go look at one of the maps it has us and Mays pond moving to the 21st, which is Edmonds/Mukilteo centric. Now if the line adjustment took us into Mill Creek, I could understand that, but on one of the maps, we are thrown in with Edmonds and Mukilteo. These elected officials, would probably always come from those population centers, and not worry about us and Mays pond and the rest on North Creek. I oppose this redistricting that puts us with Edmonds/Mukilteo.</p>   | 1 | 1  | <p>Pam Schormann<br/> 2512 189th St SE<br/> Bothell, WA 98012<br/> <a href="mailto:pzschormann@gmail.com">pzschormann@gmail.com</a></p>                                     |

|            |  |  |   |
|------------|--|--|---|
| 10/19/2011 | <p>Happy Autumn! RE: OCTOBER 14th Proposals YES to Commissioners Gorton &amp; Huff Proposal Our 1st Legislative district neighbors are people who live EAST of I-5, WEST of Hwy 9, South to Bothell Way and North to 128th St SE best understand how we commute, shop, and communicate. For over 22 years I have lived in 1st Congressional and the 1st Legislative district. I have voted at every election. As a block watch captain I know how important it is to listen to and to communicate with my neighbors in order to build a strong, supportive neighborhood network. I best serve my neighbors because I live in the neighborhood. I would like the fact that my state legislative representative lives in my neighborhood to continue even after redistricting. I dearly appreciate your time and "listening" to my recommendation and request. You are welcome to contact me if you have further questions or would like further feedback about my neighborhood redistricting.</p> |  | <p>Michelle Scannell<br/>18603 - 22nd DR SE<br/>Bothell, WA 98012-8706<br/>michelle@garden-designz.com<br/>206-226-9899</p> |
|------------|--|--|---|

|            |  |  |   |
|------------|--|--|---|
| 10/19/2011 | <p>Gentlemen and Madame; I am voicing my concern to the newly revised Ceis/Foster plan and the new easterly appendage of the 21st district, which comes across I-5 at 164th and swoops down and grabs the neighborhoods of Mays Pond, Crystal Creek and the general Northcreek area. I believe that this plan is the more workable of the two and would like to offer my suggestions. I have lived in this area for over 25 years and have even coordinated the Block watch with the surrounding Bothell and Mill Creek area captains. Looking at your plan, it looks like our old district the 1st from the south is shaped to incorporate all of Bothell and all the planned annexation. I believe this is a good choice in keeping communities with similar interests together. Groups like Mill Creek, Snohomish and Lake Stevens or Bothell, Brier and Mountlake Terrace. These smaller cities have a need to be represented by a voice that can address all their concerns, without being dominated by a larger population that is to distant. That is where my concern for our neighborhood comes in. I have lived with a Bothell address and a Mill Creek post office in the unincorporated part of Snohomish for 25 years. Our area is ripe for annexation in the next few years to Mill Creek or Bothell, yet your carving out will leave our voice with neither but of the major Puget Sound cities of Edmonds and Mukilteo. They hardly have the same needs as our area, where they are older established cities; we are in a growth phase. You are also opening us up to breaking cities apart again in a few years. My personal recommendation, would to reconsider and not including Woodinville in the first district as it has much more to do with the Redmond, Kirkland, Eastside needs. Then picking our area up to the Mill Creek border and some possible western expansion to try to put Mountlake Terrace together, this should address some of the population issues. I am sure the 21st could easily pick up its needed population from the Boeing area. You could also do the same by bringing the 44th down to the proposed Bothell annexation lines, then chopping of the northern border at Soper Hill and the Granite Falls highway. Either way our areas will be represented by local legislators and senators that will have our best interest in mind. I do not envy your job and all the outside influences pushing you, but please keep in mind the future annexations when carving out unincorporated areas. This will most probably be grabbed up very soon, especially in these times of falling revenues. Thank you for your time.</p> |  | <p>Dan Willner<br/>18631 25th Ave SE<br/>Bothell, WA 98012<br/>danw@windermere.com<br/>206-949-6966</p> |
|------------|--|--|---|

|            |   |   |   |   |
|------------|---|---|---|---|
| 10/19/2011 | <p>I have a question about and comments to provide based on the proposed legislative maps from the Special Meeting of OCT 14th. QUESTION: - When will public comment be accepted for the latest proposals of the special meeting, and how will it be accepted? COMMENT: - The proposed Legislative map by Commissioners Ceis and Foster is considerably different from their initial proposals and made a significant change to the communities of North Creek (original 1st District), just south of Mill Creek. It awkwardly connected this inland community with the coastal communities of Edmonds/Mukilteo, and draws us away from our neighbors in Bothell and Mill Creek. I strongly suggest that this inappropriately shifts our representatives away from our core needs/core community and would NOT be representation reflective of the people living in our community. I ask that they reconsider this proposal and take into account how dramatically they are impacting our representation, and work to keep the North Creek community more aligned with the neighboring communities. - If this is not the correct forum to voice this concern, I ask that you redirect me to the appropriate contact. Thanks for your time and work on this project!</p> | 1 | 1 | <p>William Anderson<br/> 2617 189th ST SE<br/> Bothell, WA 98012<br/> bigbillmail@seasentries.com<br/> 425-806-0321</p> |
|------------|---|---|---|---|

|            |  |   |   |   |
|------------|--|---|---|---|
| 10/18/2011 | <p>Gentlemen and Madame; I am voicing my concern to the newly revised Ceis/Foster plan and the new easterly appendage of the 21st district, which comes across I-5 at 164th and swoops down and grabs the neighborhoods of Mays Pond, Crystal Creek and the general Northcreek area. I believe that this plan is the more workable of the two and would like to offer my suggestions. I have lived in this area for over 25 years and have even coordinated the Block watch with the surrounding Bothell and Mill Creek area captains. Looking at your plan, it looks like our old district the 1st from the south is shaped to incorporate all of Bothell and all the planned annexation. I believe this is a good choice in keeping communities with similar interests together. Groups like Mill Creek, Snohomish and Lake Stevens or Bothell, Brier and Mountlake Terrace. These smaller cities have a need to be represented by a voice that can address all their concerns, without being dominated by a larger population that is to distant. That is where my concern for our neighborhood comes in. I have lived with a Bothell address and a Mill Creek post office in the unincorporated part of Snohomish for 25 years. Our area is ripe for annexation in the next few years to Mill Creek or Bothell, yet your carving out will leave our voice with neither but of the major Puget Sound cities of Edmonds and Mukilteo. They hardly have the same needs as our area, where they are older established cities; we are in a growth phase. You are also opening us up to breaking cities apart again in a few years. My personal recommendation, would to reconsider and not including Woodinville in the first district as it has much more to do with the Redmond, Kirkland, Eastside needs. Then picking our area up to the Mill Creek border and some possible western expansion to try to put Mountlake Terrace together, this should address some of the population issues. I am sure the 21st could easily pick up its needed population from the Boeing area. You could also do the same by bringing the 44th down to the proposed Bothell annexation lines, then chopping of the northern border at Soper Hill and the Granite Falls highway. Either way our areas will be represented by local legislators and senators that will have our best interest in mind. I do not envy your job and all the outside influences pushing you, but please keep in mind the future annexations when carving out unincorporated areas. This will most probably be grabbed up very soon, especially in these times of falling revenues. Thank you for your time. Dan Willner</p> | 1 | 1 | <p>Dan Willner<br/> Windermere<br/> 18631 25th Ave SE<br/> Bothell, WA 98012<br/> danw@windermere.com<br/> 425-486-2486</p> |
| 10/18/2011 | <p>The summary of the two plans (Foster/Ceis &amp; Huff Gorton) does not represent "rural" Prosser well. Can you please put Prosser into the 15th District. That way Prosser will be part of the (Yakima) lower valley representation, in which they are more aligned with. Thanks, -Glenn</p>   | 4 | 8 | <p>Glenn Bestebreur<br/> 930 Parkside Drive<br/> Prosser, WA 99350<br/> gbestebreur@yahoo.com<br/> 509-947-7848</p>         |

|            |  |   |    |  |
|------------|--|---|----|--|
| 10/18/2011 | Please note that the we find the democrat map concerning the 37th and the 11th legislative districts totally unacceptable. RENTON IS NOT SEATTLE. The law states that city's should to as much as possible SHOULD NOT BE DEVIDED. At the present time it is impossible for people that live at either end of 37th to get to meeting with out 45 min drive time both ways, a situation that is not correct by the democrats map. All we are asking is that the LAW and Washington State Constitution be followed. The 37th should be wholly in Seattle, and 11th should take in all of Renton. I wonder if anyone wants to take the RESPOSNBLITY in few years when Boeing closes it's Renton plan because it cannot get any representation on the state level. PS. if you find me an irrattant the best way to get rid of me is FOLLOW THE LAW. |   | 37 | Jonathan M. Fox<br>JMFox5166@aol.com   |
| 10/18/2011 | I think tim ceis's plan makes the most sense.  |   |    | Evan<br>evanerick@msn.com  |
| 10/18/2011 | Commissioners, Please select the Redistricting Proposal developed by Commissioners Gorton and Huff. I believe that this is the best option to maintaining the balance of voters in the north western counties. The Proposal from Commissioners Ceis and Foster divides Whatcom County while still dividing the City of Bellingham. I don't feel that this is fair to the citizens of Whatcom County.   |   |    | Greg Brown<br>Greg.L.Brown@conocophillips.com  |
| 10/18/2011 | I object to the plans that push Sammamish out of suburban King County and lump it into the great rural area to the east of it. Sammamish is much more like Bellevue or Kirkland than it is to Cle Elum or Ellensburg. This makes no sense at all to me.  | 8 | 5  | James O'Neill<br>141 E Lake Sammamish<br>Shore Ln NE<br>Sammamish, WA 98074<br>jjjoneill@gmail.com |

|            |   |   |    |  |
|------------|---|---|----|--|
| 10/17/2011 | <p>The City of Tacoma has previously commented to the Commission concerning our preferences regarding legislative districts, and particularly our grave concern about small portions of Tacoma being carved out to somehow balance an adjacent legislative district. Of the two legislative proposals now before you, the Ceis-Foster plan does an excellent job of preserving adequate representation for the City of Tacoma and leaves logical parts of the city intact. The Gorton-Huff plan is quite the opposite. The map lops off a small part of the city's west end and places it in the 28th district, assuring these citizens will receive little if any meaningful legislative representation. This is a poor practice that has sadly been visited on this neighborhood before when the 1990 redistricting placed a tiny bit of this neighborhood in the 26th district. Thankfully, the 2000 redistricting plan corrected this error. But the Gorton-Huff plan doubles down on this practice and also slices the contiguous Northeast Tacoma neighborhood in two between the 27th and 30th districts. There is absolutely no rational basis for this action, which will again place a small number of Tacoma residents in a district largely comprised of citizens of another county, assuring they receive little or no legislative attention. The City of Tacoma strongly urges the Commission to reject the nibbling of Tacoma and help assure that all of our citizens have the opportunity for proper legislative representation.</p> |   |    | <p>Randall Lewis<br/> City of Tacoma<br/> 747 Market Street<br/> Tacoma, WA 98422<br/> rlewis@cityoftacoma.org<br/> 253-591-5122</p> |
| 10/16/2011 | <p>Please make sure that the northern part of Kirkland is NOT in the 32nd. 10 years of no representation is too long. Part of your job, I thought, was to keep community in mind. Shoreline and Kirkland share nothing except being in the state of Washington.</p>   | 1 | 32 |  |
| 10/16/2011 | <p>The new legislative map released by Ceis/Foster is very disruptive and unsuitable for Whatcom County. Dividing our county into 3 legislative districts is an untenable approach and should not be considered. The Ceis/Foster map redistricts a recently elected representative into the 39th which effectively thwarts the will of the 42nd district voters. The legislative map released by Gorton/Huff is the best solution for Whatcom County and the legislative districts contained within.</p>  | 2 | 42 | <p>Luanne Van Werven<br/> 7555 Hannegan Rd.<br/> Lynden, WA 98264<br/> lvanwerven@comcast.net<br/> 360-354-5697</p>                  |

|            |  |   |    |  |
|------------|--|---|----|--|
| 10/16/2011 | The new legislative map proposed by Commissioners Huff and Gorton is right on target. By moving more of Bellingham into the 40th district it keeps the districts lines very similar to current boundaries and disrupts Whatcom County the least. It is logical and appropriate. Moving more Bellingham voters into the 40th LD also serves their preference of supporting Democrat leaders. North Bellingham and north county voters would remain represented by the leadership they elected by significant margins. It would be a win/win for Whatcom County and the two legislative districts contained within. I highly recommend passage of the Huff/Gorton legislative map. | 2 | 42 | Luanne Van Werven<br>7555 Hannegan Rd.<br>Lynden, WA 98264<br>lvanwerven@comcast.net<br>360-354-5697 |
|------------|--|---|----|--|

|            |  |   |    |   |
|------------|--|---|----|---|
| 10/14/2011 | <p>I support Commissioner Foster's 48th LD, because it is consistent with published redistricting criteria and brings us closer to a legislative district that will engender greater civic participation within the Redmond/148th Ave NE community of interest. Commissioner Foster's map could be further enhanced by modifying the 48th LD's northern boundary to include more of South Redmond and exclude a portion of Bridle Trails. I also support Commissioner Foster's proposed 37th and 11th LD's, which will allow the districts to retain a large proportion of their current constituents.</p> <p>1. There are practical, topographic reasons for drawing the 11th LD with a North/South rather than an East-West orientation. This is due to the geography and terrain, the orientation of major roads and arterials within the district and bordering it, and the natural community spaces that result from them.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Major roads and arterials follow the terrain within and around the 11th LD, including I-5, Airport Way, Marginal Ways East and West, and SR 509. These major thoroughfares are oriented north-south.</li> <li>• With easy freeway and/or highway access, residents of Georgetown and South Park readily travel to Rental and Tukwila.</li> <li>• Residents on the eastside of the current 37th LD -- Rainier Beach, Madrona and Mount Baker - have little reason to travel to Georgetown and South Park in the current 11th LD and vice versa.</li> </ul> <p>2. I also believe the 37th and 11th LD's proposed by Commissioner Foster will encourage the emerging community of voters who reside in these districts to encourage civic participation among their children and neighbors. By contrast, the maps proposed by Commissioners Huff, Gorton and Ceis would significantly alter the districts, give them a east-west orientations and displace one, if not two of the current 11th LD incumbents. Given that minority communities are currently underrepresented in electoral politics; that broader, ongoing civic participation within these communities remains a goal of leaders in majority as well as minority communities; and that a stated criteria of the redistricting commission is to comply with the Voting Rights Act to ensure that minorities have an equal opportunity to elect representatives of their choice, I support the 37th and 11th LD's proposed by Commissioner Foster.</p> | 1 | 32 | <p>Nadine Shiroma<br/> 9449 NE 138 St<br/> Kirkland, WA 98034<br/> nadine.shiroma@gmail.com<br/> 425-753-1257</p> |
|------------|--|---|----|---|

|            |   |    |  |
|------------|---|----|--|
| 10/14/2011 | <p>Redistricting Comments. Recommended Tim Ceis Plan for Legislative Districts 2nd Possible Dean Foster The Tim Ceis Plan for Legislative Districts is the only plan that does not cross County Boundaries and does not remove parts of the existing district. It much more difficult to represent a district that spans more than one county as some of the other proposals do. This would require a legislator to meet with two sets of County Councils, and every single elected position. Legislative district representatives would go to different County meeting etc. I prefer the Tim Ceis Plan over the Dean Foster Plan because it does not remove areas from the district and only adds areas. That way people do not unnecessarily change districts.</p>  |    | <p>Jeffrey Holden<br/>holdenj@comcast.net<br/>253-835-4319</p> |
| 10/14/2011 | <p>Dear Washington State Legislative Redistricting Commission, I urge you to pick one of the Legislative Redistricting Plans that leave the 48th district together instead of splitting off parts of the Bellevue area along West Lake Sammamish Parkway and/or the areas around Clyde Hill/Medina. These have been traditionally included in the 48th LD and follow the natural boundaries of the East side of Lake Washington from I 90 on the South to North of Downtown Kirkland ; and are bordered on the East by Lake Sammamish the Samammish Slough and downtown Redmond. We hold mutual concerns that include the extension of light rail, the rebuilding of State Highway #520 Bridge, and interconnecting Metro Bus routes; Bellevue College &amp; Lake Washington Technical Institute; and the businesses of Microsoft and other software companies as well as the Overlake/Group Health Hospital complex and the 3 urban areas of Bellevue, Kirkland, and West Redmond's Overlake business area/downtown Redmond. Splitting off or removing parts of the 48th that are within this geographically contiguous area places these residents in areas that do not share their needs or concerns and takes them out of the Bellevue area to other cities a significant distance away or even across portions of Lake Washington. Thank you</p> | 48 | <p>Mary G. Wirta<br/>mgwirta2004@yahoo.com</p>                 |
| 10/14/2011 | <p><a href="#">Click to public testimony documents</a></p>  |    | <p>John Milem<br/>Vancouver, WA<br/>milemjohn@comcast.net</p>  |
| 10/14/2011 | <p><a href="#">Click to public testimony documents</a></p>  | 11 | <p>Marvin Rosete<br/>Renton, WA 98059<br/>(206) 856-8972</p>   |

|            |  |  |   |
|------------|--|--|---|
| 10/14/2011 | <p>My name is Susan L. Wilson, and I wish to comment on the redistricting. I have heard how minorities want to include Federal Way with other areas where there are primarily minorities to form a minority district. I have a real problem with this. First of all we have been lectured on being "color blind". We are not suppose to base things on race. Now we are. Further more, the people pushing for a minority district, say it is because "minorities have special needs". They have said on TV that their needs are different than those of white people. They say they want to represent minorities. What about the white people who live in this district like me? Who will represent me.? By the words of those who want a minority district, it is to represent minorities. This leaves the white people in these districts with NO REPRESENTATION WHAT SO EVER! If i were to push for a "white district" to represent the needs of whites, because apparently they are different than the needs of minorities, you would surely call me a racist. The people that want a minority district are also racist. They want a district based on color, that will leave whites with no representation. This is a hate crime against white people. It sounds like segregation from the 50's. I believe it is illegal to base things on race, except when people of color want things based on race. This is completely unfair, and will leave whites out of the legislative process forever. It this is formed, I will have to form a legal organization to fight for the rights of ALL PEOPLE.</p> |  | <p>Susan Wilson<br/>2nd Pl. S.W.<br/>Federal Way, WA 98023<br/>angelady777@me.com</p> |
|------------|--|--|---|

|            |  |   |    |  |
|------------|--|---|----|--|
| 10/13/2011 | <p>This is outrageous! As an African-American female (and I shouldn't have to even point this out), I am sick at the idea of how Dr. King's dream has been twisted and made into something he didn't say. I don't need someone dealing with me because I'm black or because I'm in a 'community of color', I want to be treated with respect because I deserve it and because I treat others with respect WHO deserve. Is this what we need; new 'VICTIMS' that will be pimped out for their votes? Instead of continuing to divide everyone up, let's try bring everyone together as people of Washington State, as Americans! I am sick of Marxist/Socialistic groups like OneAmerica, pretending to be for the 'oppressed' on the front-end, while they push their agenda to uproot the Constitution on the back-end. All of us who are here legally are AMERICANS! Why should we reward those who are here illegally with 'rights' and benefits they haven't earned? I am sick of taxpayers being used like a national ATM machine. I work hard and I'm falling farther and farther behind so I turn to my family and church not the government. The difference is that I know that even though I know I might fail this time, I still have a chance to succeed next time because of where I live, my faith and because of our US Constitution. Enough political correctness (a communist tool to silence voices of opposition) already! Hasn't it practically destroyed this country? Do the right thing, please!</p> | 9 | 30 | <p>Robin Caldwell<br/> 31500 33rd PI SW<br/> Federal Way, WA 98023<br/> rcald2326@hotmail.com<br/> 253-332-3539</p>  |
| 10/12/2011 | <p><a href="#">Click to view letter</a></p>  |   | 8  | <p>The Honorable Larry Haler<br/> State of Washington House of Representatives<br/> PO Box 40600<br/> Olympia, WA 98504-0600<br/> 800-562-6000<br/> larry.haler@leg.wa.gov</p> |

|            |                                      |   |    |  |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---|----|--|
| 10/11/2011 | <a href="#">Click to view letter</a> | 2 | 38 | Ray Stephanson, Mayor<br>City of Everett<br>2930 Wetmore Ave, Ste 10A<br>Everett, WA 98201<br>425-257-8700 |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---|----|--|

**PO BOX 40948, Olympia, WA 98504-0948**

**Phone: (360)786-0770**

**Fax: (360) 586-0433**

**E-mail: [contact@redistricting.wa.gov](mailto:contact@redistricting.wa.gov)**

**Web: [www.redistricting.wa.gov](http://www.redistricting.wa.gov)**